

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Aww.uspto.gov

				,
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/700,626	11/17/2000	Wolfgang Hultsch	0112740-113	8129
29177	7590 07/23/2004		EXAM	INER
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC			DUONG, DUC T	
P. O. BOX 1135 CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135		,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER .
•			2663	a
			DATE MAILED: 07/23/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/700,626	HULTSCH, WOLFGANG			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Duc T. Duong	2663			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
	• •	IVIC CET TO EVOIDE 2 M	ONTH(S) FROM			
THE - External after of the control	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statureply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	May 2004.				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Thi	s action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 9-16 is/are pending in the application	n.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 9-11 and 14-16 is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>12 and 13</u> is/are objected to.					
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyan	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	,	` ' '			
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
* (13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document and Copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the primapplication from the International Bure. See the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestince a specific reference was included in the form of the translation of the foreign language process of the primary process. The translation of the foreign language process of the priority documents. The translation of the foreign language process of the priority documents. The priority documents are the priority documents are the priority documents. The priority documents are the priority documents are the priority documents are the priority documents. The priority documents are the priority documents are the priority documents are the priority documents are the priority documents. The priority documents are the priority documen	nts have been received. Into have been received in A fority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Into of the certified copies not stic priority under 35 U.S.C. irst sentence of the specification has bestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	pplication No received in this National Stage received. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) ation or in an Application Data Sheet. een received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachmer	nt(s)					
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Ir	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/700,626

Art Unit: 2663

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 9, 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yoshida et al (U.S. Patent 6,466,562 B1).

Regarding to claims 9 and 14, Yoshida discloses an apparatus for real-time transmission of compressed data (Fig. 1), comprising a receiving unit 29 (Fig. 5 col. 6 lines 18-24) for receiving useful data and filling data which arrive as a data stream with a constant rate (64 kbps) via circuit-switched connection (ISDN) of a first communication network (col. 8 lines 15-35); a control unit 56 for removing the filling data contained in the data stream with the constant rate (Fig. 10 col. 10 lines 21-24) and for reformatting the useful data contained in the data stream with the constant rate (col. 11 lines 5-7); and a sending unit 29 for sending the reformatted useful data as a data

Art Unit: 2663

stream with a variable data (full rate or half rate) rate via packet-oriented connection (mobile network) of a second communication network (col. 11 lines 8-32).

Regarding to claim 15, Yoshida discloses the apparatus is connected between a line-connected communications network and a mobile communication network (Fig. 1 col. 33-40).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 10 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshida.

Regarding to claims 10 and 16, Yoshida discloses all the limitation with respect to claims 9 and 14, including the useful data is a video data (col. 1 lines 55-57, multimedia data read on video data). However, Yoshida fails to disclose the video data is compressed. However, to compress the video data would have been obvious to one of skilled in the art for optimizing transmission bandwidth.

5. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshida in view of Eng et al (U.S. Patent 5,623,495).

Regarding to claim 11, Yoshida discloses all the limitation with respect to claim 9, except for communicating quality data for identifying transmission quality of the packet-

Application/Control Number: 09/700,626

Art Unit: 2663

oriented connection to the second communications network. However, Eng discloses a wireless ATM local area network comprising checking for the availability of bandwidth and other QoS measures (col. 10 lines 31-38). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of skilled in the art to include determining the transmission quality as taught by Eng to ensures guaranteed jitter bounds for video traffic.

Page 4

#### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 12 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed on May 3, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding to Applicant's argument on page 4, Yoshida is not configured to for receiving useful data and filling data and instead configured for receiving modulated carrier is direct to col. 5 lines 63-65. Herein, Yoshida discloses the modulated carrier having both control data (filling data) and data information (useful data). Regarding to Applicant's argument on page 4, Yoshida fails to teach for reformatting a data stream of constant data rate into a data stream of a variable data rate is direct to Fig. 8A-B col. 8 lines 42-57. Herein, Yoshida discloses two channels slot 58 and 59 (the information in the two channels slots are data stream with a constant data rate 64 kbps coming from the ISDN network) are separated (reformatted) into a personal handy-phone system PHS data channels that are either half rate or full rate (noted in the references 72 and 73 of the figures show that the reformatting of the data

Application/Control Number: 09/700,626

Art Unit: 2663

stream of the channels slots can be both half and full rate, it can varied from one to another). In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., Yoshida avoids converting non-restricted full rate PHS data to 64 kbps ISDN B-channel data by adding "dummy" bits to PHS data to create a B-channel slot) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

#### Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc T. Duong whose telephone number is 703-605-5146. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (9:00 AM-6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau T. Nguyen can be reached on 703-308-5340. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DD DD

